# The evolution of the stellar mass function of globular clusters

Henny J.G.L.M. Lamers (Amsterdam), Mark Gieles (Surrey), Holger Baumgardt (Brisbane) Poul Alexander (Cambridge)

h.j.g.l.m.lamers@astro.uu.nl

# Why do we care about the evolution of the mass function of star clusters?

1. The present mass function of clusters provides information about the history of the cluster, including the IMF.

2.. The evolution of the mass function is important for understanding the photometry of unresolved clusters (interaction history of galaxies)

#### **Observed stellar mass functions**



Bastian et al. 2010

### **Comparison with observations Global mass functions of 17 MW GCs**

Based on a homogeneous analysis of HST-ACS observations





Padova 24-09-2013

Paust et al. 2010







The expected relation !!

*Observations by Paust et al. 2010* 

#### The evolution of the MF of star clusters: an analytic description

Lamers, Baumgardt, Gieles 2013 MNRAS 433, 1378



N-body simulations by Bay mgardt & Makino 2003

#### The Differential Mass Function : a practical way to describe the MF evolution

Delta (t, m) = log N(t,m) / N(0,m)



#### The differential mass function is very similar for a large range of cluster models "if compared at different mass-fractions"

Models with different ages t = 28, 20, 17 Gyr

Models with different initial concentrations

 $r_{\rm h} = 0.5, 1, 4 \, {\rm pc}$ 



# A schematic description of the evolution of the mass function



## The predicted evolution of the MF

#### Full lines = N-body model

#### **Dashed lines : prediction**



Padova 24-09-2013

#### L et al. 2013 submitted

# Predicted relation between MF slope, fraction of lost mass, time of mass segregation.



How the MF g	jets a flat slop	be (-1<α<-0.5)
without i	nitial mass se	egregation
m <sub>depl</sub>	t <sub>cc</sub>	$M(t)/M(t_{depl})$
1.1 Msun	> 1 Gyr	~ 0.6
2.5 Msun	0.1 Gyr	~ 0.3
4.0 Msun	0.01 Gyr	~ <0.1
10 Msun	0.003 Gyr	< 0.01

### **Initial mass segregation?**

NGC 1818 in LMC t=7 Myr M =3 1^4 Msun r\_h = 2.7 pc



De Grijs et al. 2002

### The effect of initial mass segregation Two similar models

with the same severe initial mass segregation



Strong tidal field strong mass loss before t\_cc Weak tidal field strong mass loss after t\_cc

Padova 24-09-2013

Baumgardt et al. 2008

Strong depletion of low mass stars without assuming that the cluster is almost completely dissolved: M/Mi > 0.20

- **1.** Without initial mass segregation
  - after core collapse
  - small m\_depl -> late core collapse
  - 2. Severe initial mass segregation
    - no core collapse yet or ecent core collapse
    - but dynamical mass loss

#### Mass-radius-density evolution: N=3. 10<sup>4</sup>



#### The relation between central density and α at 12 Gyr No initial mass segregation



Strong depletion of low mass stars without assuming that the cluster is almost completely dissolved: M/Mi > 0.20



2. Severe initial mass segregation

- no core collapse yet but high dynamical mass loss
- or recent core collapse and high dynamical mass loss



*Observations by Paust et al. 2010* 

## **Conclusions:**

- 1. The observed mass fuction of GC clusters correlates with present central density
- 2. This relation provides insight in the conditions during and after GC formation
- 3. Core-collapsed clusters have lost only a small fraction of their mass after cc. Initial mass segregation : unknown? (initial radius small compared to tidal radius)
- 4. Non-core-collapsed clusters must have lost a large fraction of their initial mass, and they must have been initially mass segregated. (initial radius large compared to tidal radius

*Caution: these conclusions are based on models without black holes and a large binary population* 

5. Quantitative work in progress.

#### EMACSS models of the evolution of star clusters

#### Gieles et al. 2013 MNRAS submitted



#### The predicted relation between the slope of the MF at 0.4 – 0.8 Msun is an indication of the fraction of mass that the cluster has lost.



This figure is for clusters with an age of 12 Gyr, that stars with a Kroupa IMF

#### **Core collapse:**

Energy equipartition: v^2 ~1/m massive stars lose energy and move to the center low mass stars gain energy and move to outskirts



# Core collapse time of GC of different initial half-mass radii



Padova 24-09-2013

#### The evolution of the MF in pairs of models



#### The role of remnants



#### The evolution of the stellar mass function

The MF of 2 models at the same times



The MF of 2 models at the same mass





# The changing stellar mass function



# **Star clusters**





Cluster samples: 1000s of clusters



#### Young starcluster in the LMC

Old starcluster in the MW halo

Starcluster at 8.4 Mpc

